UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,765	02/20/2002	Kazuhiro Ishida	017446-0323	3462
	7590 12/20/201 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		ALVAREZ, RAQUEL		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/077,765	ISHIDA, KAZUHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raquel Alvarez	3688			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/9/3 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 34,36,37,39-41,43,44,46-48,50,51 and 53-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 55 and 56 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 34, 36-37, 39-41, 43-44, 46-48, 50-51, 53-54 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the other states. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/077,765 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 12/9/2010.

2. Claims 34, 36-37, 39-41, 43-44, 46-48, 50-51, 53-54 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 34, 36-37, 39-41, 46-48, 50-51 and 53-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (5,987,424 hereinafter Nakamura) in view of Kenney (5,515,424 hereinafter Kenney) further in view of Gupta (5,206,899 hereinafter Gupta).

With respect to claims 34,36-37, 39-41, 43-44, 46-48, 50-51, 53-54 Nakamura teaches a communication terminal (i.e. subscriber telephone set 1); a registration request issuing means for issuing a registration request for advertisement information broadcast from an advertisement information broadcast server and receiving means for receiving advertisement information which is broadcast from said advertisement information broadcast server, based on said registration request (i.e. the telephone set under contract to receive messages)(steps 1301 and 1302); and a display means for displaying said advertisement information received by said receiving means (Figure 14).

With respect to said display means for automatically displaying said advertisement information on a standby screen when the communication terminal is in standby state. Kenney teaches "the monitor 18 would **display informational screens for a period of 8-10 seconds each when the phone is not in use.** These still images are stored in a memory module 38, which could be a disk drive, in the phone. In some cases, the data could be downloaded from a central administration point" (col. 4, lines 27-32). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included automatically receiving advertisement information on a standby screen because such a modification would without any human interaction attract passerby to the telephone terminals.

With respect to the communication terminal being portable. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known for devices or the like to be portable in order to provide portability. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the communication terminal being portable in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

Nakamura further teaches a registration cancellation means for requesting to stop broadcasting said advertisement information from said advertisement broadcast server (i.e. detecting subscriber termination/rejection of advertisements)(step 1303) and a registration cancellation means for requesting to stop broadcasting said advertisement information from said advertisement information broadcast server (i.e. exchange 4 determining if a cancellation request was received from the subscriber telephone set 1)((col. 24, lines 29-33).

Art Unit: 3688

With respect to the newly amended feature, Nakamura doesn't teach immediately register and immediately canceling registration of the portable terminal. Gupta teaches on col. 6, lines 44-47; col. 7, lines 55-58 and col. 8, lines 7-12 teaches using a mobile phone or a public phone and "service will be activated immediately and remain activated until subsequently canceled" (col. 6, lines 44-47) and cancellation is done immediately via a "deactivation call" (col. 8, lines 7-12). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have modified Nakamura's portable terminal to have included the teachings of Gupta of immediately register and immediately canceling registration of the portable terminal because such a modification would provide a service by which a subscriber can, from any location, easily, quickly and on a real-time basis, personalize and/or change certain characteristics (in Gupta col. 1, lines 5-13)

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34, 36-37, 39-41, 43-44, 46-48, 50-51, 53-54 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Point of contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 3688

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571)272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Raquel Alvarez/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688 Raquel Alvarez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3688

R.A. 12/17/2010